

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Kuraishe Meech
<b>Given Name</b>	Husnara
<b>Person ID</b>	1285727
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Kuraishe Meech
<b>Given Name</b>	Husnara
<b>Person ID</b>	1285727
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The proposal for the scheme is using existing access which serves the existing population.</p> <p>Industrial units at the top of hazelhurst rd which then moves into and a quiet residential street.</p> <p>There are a small number off roads from hazelhurst which appear as cul de sacs</p> <p>The paper notes that"s these small roads are the proposed access roads</p> <p>This paper provides no context about this small roads</p> <p>One hazelhurst farm road has fledgling nursery had the main site which is the proposed main thoroughfare- there is no reference to this in the paper</p> <p>Salford had a duty of care to children vehicle traffic will increase with large trucks coming into a small road and actually having to turn in the nursery car park if this proposal is accepted.</p> <p>The site backs on to the east Lancs a main junction.</p> <p>As the document says the guided busway frequents this section</p> <p>Thus access to this site needs to reflect access for the additional 500 additional vehicles and so should be from the east Lancashire rd - developers need to build new roads from new access from the east Lance and not the existing neighbourhoods rd which are fit for the current intended purposes.</p> <p>If developers are keen to add houses of this size 400+ homes they need to use their money to build new access roads that connect directly to the main A Roads and not use existing b roads to connect such a wide scale development</p> <p>Moreover schools are already overwhelmed so I assume another school or doctor will be considered but nothing is noted in the paper ?</p>

	<p>Figures from Salford schools needs to documented and the numbers which didn't make it into the report</p> <p>schools in this area of Salford have been consistently overpopulated &amp; oversubscribed for a number of years.</p> <p>Developers fail to provide any facilities for children if this area is truly aimed at social housing needs -</p> <p>Where are the plans for a play park in this development? Isn't this a prerequisite for a development of this scale.</p> <p>The area backs on to wild woods and is currently a farm with much wildlife birds, What is intended for this ?</p> <p>Other considerations:</p> <p>How many homes are earmarked for social housing of the 400?</p> <p>What is the penalty for the developer failing to provide the allocated social housing ?</p> <p>How is this monitored? By whom and has this ever been executed if failure to comply?</p> <p>Will this be a similar situation to salboy development last where few were actually allocated ? Salford council have failed to take action!</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Provide facilities</p> <p>Doctors</p> <p>New school primary</p> <p>Moorside high school already too big and not ideal to make it bigger failing already due to the size</p> <p>Salford merged two large areas Winton /Eccles and swinton/ Worsley into a super school</p> <p>Children are being failed by substandard education in Salford due to the size of classes 9 forms approx 35 children in each year group .</p> <p>Salford in the school league tables is lingering in the bottom due to overcrowding and lathes classes adding further population will not provide education to an acceptable standard. This is not in the paper</p> <p>As the plan is to use existing infrastructure!</p> <p>If it's not working now why add further populations which will damage the education of your children in Salford further .</p> <p>Access route should be east lancs rd wwhich is a main A road and not use existing small b/c residential roads .</p> <p>Reduce numbers for development so that the developers can provide facilities for the new families not just a plot of houses/ flats</p> <p>With a small square which is considered a play park</p> <p>Provide adequate parking</p> <p>With no access for cars on the smaller roads that's lead ti hazelhurst rd</p>